

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,123	12/01/2003	John S. Hendricks	007412.00794	9915	
71867 7550 04/19/2010 BANNER & WITCOFF , LTD			EXAM	EXAMINER	
ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NUMBER 007412			SALTARELLI, DOMINIC D		
1100 13th STR SUITE 1200	1100 13th STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005-4051		2421		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/19/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) HENDRICKS ET AL. 10/724,123 Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit

		DOMINIC D. SALTARELLI	2421				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1/38(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fised after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the meximum statutory point of will apply and will copies SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be application to become ABMONTOS (SIX U.S. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will be application to become ABMONTOS (SIX U.S. § 133).  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply with great period and the application to become ABMONTOS. (SIX U.S. § 133).							
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is			
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 30-53 is/are pending in the application  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 30-53 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)[ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
A 44 la	44-2						
1) Notice	t(s) ee of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				

- Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
   Hiformation Disclosure Statement(c) (PTO/S0/05)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date

- Paper No(s)/Mail Date.\_\_\_\_\_.
  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
- 6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,123

Art Unit: 2421

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Omum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Application/Control Number: 10/724,123

Art Unit: 2421

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

 Claims 30, 36, 42, and 48 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 26 of copending Application No. 10/261,931 in view of US Patent No. 5,155,591 to Wachob and US Patent No. 4,775,935 to Yourick.

Each of claims 30, 36, and 42, and 48 describe a system for targeting advertisements, where categorized advertisement are selected and targeted to set top terminals based on collected information regarding currently watched television programs. The differences between the instant application and the invention described in Application No. 10/261,931 are that Application No. 10/261,931 describes a system where information is broadly collected regarding watched program information (rather than *currently* watched program information, as in the instant application), and the plurality of advertisements within each advertisement category are weighted relative to one another. In the office action dated March 2, 2010 associated with Application No. 10/261,931, the examiner demonstrated that each of these features where known and obvious to apply in the art. Wachob teaches collecting programs watched data from subscribers, col. 2, lines 43-49 and col. 8, lines 17-51. Yourick teaches weighing ads relative to one another within a category, columns 10 and 11.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

 Upon receipt of a properly filed terminal disclaimer of the instant application over Application No. 10/261,931, claims 30-53 will be allowed.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on February 12, 2010 prompted the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DOMINIC D. SALTARELLI whose telephone number is Art Unit: 2421

(571)272-7302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am -6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on (571) 272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dominic D Saltarelli/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2421